arXiv:1510.01809v1 [math.PR] 7 Oct 2015

# Implicit renewal theory for exponential functionals of Lévy processes

**Jonas ARISTA**[*]& **Víctor M. RIVERO** [†]

**Abstract**

We establish a new functional relation for the probability density function of the exponential functional of a Lévy process, which allows to significantly simplify the techniques commonly used in the study of these random variables and hence provide quick proofs of known results, derive new results, as well as sharpening known estimates for the distribution. We apply this formula to provide another look to the Wiener-Hopf type factorisation for exponential functionals obtained in a series of papers by Pardo, Patie and Savov, derive new identities in law, and to describe the behaviour of the tail distribution at infinity and of the distribution at zero in a rather large set of situations.

**Keywords**: Lévy processes, exponential functionals, factorisations in law, renewal equation, tail asymptotics

**Mathematics subject classification**: 60 G 51, 60 J 55

## 1 Introduction and main results

Let $\xi$ be a real valued Lévy process killed at an independent exponential time of parameter $q \geq 0$, and assume that the cemetery state is $-\infty$. By $\zeta$ we denote its lifetime $\zeta = \inf\{t > 0 : \xi_t = -\infty\}$. The characteristic exponent of $\xi$ is denoted by $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, and it is given by

$$\mathbf{E}\left(e^{i\lambda\xi_1}, 1 < \zeta\right) = \exp\{-t\Psi(\lambda)\},$$

with

$$\Psi(\lambda) = q + ai\lambda + \frac{Q^2\lambda^2}{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} (1 - e^{i\lambda x} - i\lambda x 1_{\{|x|<1\}})\Pi(dx), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

[*]Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas A.C. Calle Jalisco s/n Col. Mineral de Valenciana C.P. 36240 Guanajuato, Guanajuato, México. E–mail: irbing.arista@cimat.mx

[†]Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas A.C. Calle Jalisco s/n Col. Mineral de Valenciana C.P. 36240 Guanajuato, Guanajuato, México. E–mail: rivero@cimat.mx

and $q \geq 0$ is the killing rate, $a$ the linear term, $Q$ the Gaussian term and $\Pi$ its Lévy measure. We call $(q, a, Q, \Pi)$ the characteristic quadruplet of $\xi$. We will assume that either $q > 0$, that is the process jumps to its cemetery state $-\infty$ in a finite time or $q = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t = -\infty$ a.s.

According to Theorem 1 in [12] these are only cases under which the exponential functional associated to $\xi$

$$I := \int_0^\zeta \exp\{\xi_s\} ds, \tag{1}$$

is finite a.s. Furthermore, in [8] and [50] it has been proved that its probability distribution admits a density, say $k$.

It is important to mention that in the existing litterature the exponential functional is also defined as the r.v. $\int_0^\zeta \exp\{-X_s\} ds$, with $X$ a Lévy process that either has a finite lifetime or has an infinite lifetime and drifts towards $\infty$, as in [12]. As there is no a agreement about which is the more convenient notation to take, we will stick to the former notation because we believe that it has the advantage of not involving a negative sign in many computations. When necessary we will add a subindex to point out the dependence in the underlying Lévy process $\xi$, namely , $I_\xi$, will also stand for $\int_0^\zeta \exp\{\xi_s\} ds$.

The exponential functionals of a Lévy processes have become a key object in stochastic modelling, for instance one founds these r.v. in the following areas: random algorithms [30], composition structures [27, 26], generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes [43], finance [65], financial time series [36], population dynamics [23] and [45], random media [60], risk theory [22] and [25], self-similar fragmentation theory [5] and [9], self-similar Markov processes theory [12, 16, 37, 40, 19, 49], self-similar branching processes [41] and [51], random networks [34], telecommunications [30], quantum mechanics [20] and many others, see e.g. [12].

Determining the explicit distribution for exponential functionals is in general a difficult problem and, because of its importance, the obtainment of distributional properties, providing tools for characterising its law or asymptotic behaviour of its distribution has been at the source of many researches in the last two decades. Most of this activity was ignited by the seminal paper by Carmona, Petit and Yor [17] were a systematic study of these r.v. has been started and various properties have been established. A thorough review by Bertoin and Yor [12] includes many of the available tools for the study of exponential functionals, some other that partially update this review will be given along the text.

To start our exposition we recall the integro-differential equation obtained by Carmona, Petit and Yor [17], see also [12]. That equation establishes that if $\xi$ has an infinite lifetime, its jump part has bounded variation,

$$\int_{x>1} x\overline{\Pi}^+(x)dx < \infty, \quad \text{with } \overline{\Pi}^+(x) = \Pi(x, \infty),\ \overline{\Pi}^-(x) = \Pi(-\infty, -x), \qquad x > 0,$$

and $\mathbf{E}(|\xi_1|) < \infty$, then the law of $I$ has a density, say $k$, which is the unique

probability density function that solves the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\left(x^2k(x)\right)+\left(\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}+a\right)x+1\right)k(x)\\ &\quad=\int_x^\infty \overline{\Pi}^-(\log(u/x))k(u)du-\int_0^x \overline{\Pi}^+(\log(x/u))k(u)du, \qquad x>0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

This equation has been extended to exponential functionals of bivariate Lévy processes, see [48], [2] and the references therein. In general, it is difficult to extract from it an explicit formula for the density, although it has been successfully used in determining the asymptotic behaviour at zero of the distribution of $I$, as well as its tail distribution, in the case where $\xi$ is the negative of a subordinator, see [50] and [31], respectively.

The reader familiar with the theory of Lévy process might have observed that the formula in (2) is closely related to the infinitesimal generator of $\xi$. Our main result establishes a dual formula, in the sense that it is given in terms of the potential measure of $\xi$, which, by standard Markov processes theory, is known to be the inverse operator of the infinitesimal generator.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $U(dy)$ be the renewal or potential measure of $\xi$,*

$$U(dy)=\mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^\zeta dt1_{\{\xi_t\in dy\}}\right), \qquad y\in\mathbb{R}\,.$$

*The probability density function of the exponential functional $I$, say $k$, satisfies*

$$\int_t^\infty k(s)ds=\int_{\mathbb{R}} k(te^{-y})U(dy), \qquad \text{a.e. } t \text{ on } (0,\infty). \tag{3}$$

In the particular case where $\xi$ is the negative of a subordinator the validity of the identity (3) has been established in [50] using an identification of the moments of $I$. The proof here uses a different and elementary argument, see Section 2. Our argument is also unrelated to the one used by Carmona et al. in establishing (2); they shrewdly use that the law of the exponential functional of a Lévy process arises as the invariant law of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process.

The purpose of this paper is to explain how the identity in Theorem 1 allows to simplify some of the techniques commonly used and hence provide quick proofs of known results, obtain new results, as well as in some cases sharpening known estimates for the distribution.

As a first consequence of the above identity (3) we obtain, by integrating in both sides with respect to $\beta t^{\beta-1}dt$ on $(0,\infty)$ with $\beta\in\mathbb{C}$, $\Re\beta>0$, $\beta\neq 0$, the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Let $C$ be the set defined by*

$$C:=\left\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}:\Re\lambda>0 \text{ and } |\,\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda\xi_1}\right]|<1\right\},$$

*and denote still by $\Psi$ the analytic extension of the characteristic exponent of $\xi$ on $C$. The following identity holds true for all $\beta \in C$,*

$$\mathbf{E}(I^\beta) = \frac{\beta}{\Psi(-i\beta)}\,\mathbf{E}(I^{\beta-1}).$$

Some versions of this result have been obtained for instance in [17], [44], [58], [38].

*Proof.* The proof is indeed elementary but needs the remark that since we are assuming that the Lévy process either has a finite lifetime or drifts towards $-\infty$ we have that the measure $U$ is $\sigma$-finite and for $\lambda \in C$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda y} U(dy) = \frac{1}{\Psi(-i\lambda)}, \qquad \lambda \neq 0;$$

see e.g. [4] Chapter I. □

We recall that the name of renewal measure for $U$ is justified by the fact that it is the renewal measure associated to the distribution function $F(dx) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_{\mathbf{e}} \in dx)$, with $\mathbf{e}$ an independent exponential random variable of parameter 1. Indeed, the monotone convergence theorem implies that the following equality of measures holds

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n\geq 1} F^{*n}(dx) &= \sum_{n\geq 1} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} \frac{t^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)}\, \mathbb{P}(\xi_t \in dx) \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt \left( \sum_{n\geq 1} e^{-t} \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \right) \mathbb{P}(\xi_t \in dx) = U(dx). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

So, as an immediate consequence of the identity (3) is that it opens the gate to the use of the renewal theory for the study of the distribution of $I$. The possibility of applying renewal theory to the study of exponential functionals was indirectly known from the paper by Goldie [28], where, for perpetuities, renewal sequences are built to study its tail distribution. That $I$ is an example of a perpetuity is a consequence of the strong Markov property of the Lévy process $\xi$. Indeed, to verify that there exists r.v. $(Q, M)$ independent of $\widetilde{I}$, a copy of $I$, such that the equality in law

$$I \overset{\text{Law}}{=} Q + M\widetilde{I}, \tag{5}$$

holds, it suffices to take $Q = \int_0^1 \exp\{\xi_s\} ds$, $M = \exp\{\xi_1\} 1_{\{1<\zeta\}}$, write the exponential functional as

$$I = Q + M \int_0^\infty \exp\{\xi_{1+s} - \xi_1\} 1_{\{1+s<\zeta\}} ds;$$

and apply the property of independent and stationary increments of $\xi$. This can also be seen in [12] Section 4.3. Albeit more specific, the advantage of our

approach over Goldie's is two fold. First the connection with renewal measures is transparent, and second, our identity allows to describe both the behaviour of the tail distribution at infinity and that of the distribution at 0 as well to derive new identities in law. Below we will describe some of the results that can be obtained, but before we will make a digression to recall some notions and facts from the fluctuation theory of Lévy processes. For background we refer to [4], [21] and [40], from where most of the results quoted below have been extracted.

## 1.1 Some facts from fluctuation theory

We denote by $H = (H_t, t \geq 0)$, $\widehat{H} = (\widehat{H}_t, t \geq 0)$, the upward, resp. downward, ladder height process of $\xi$. $H$ and $\widehat{H}$ are subordinators, that is a non-decreasing Lévy processes. We will denote by $(\kappa(q,0), b, \Pi_H)$, respectively $(\widehat{\kappa}(q,0), \widehat{b}, \Pi_{\widehat{H}})$, the killing, drift and Lévy measure of $H$ and $\widehat{H}$ respectively. The Laplace exponent of $H$, respectively $\widehat{H}$, will be denoted by $\kappa(q,\cdot)$ and $\widehat{\kappa}(q,\cdot)$, respectively, and it can be expressed as

$$\kappa(q,\lambda) = -\log \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda H_1}\right) = \kappa(q,0) + b\lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - \exp\{-\lambda x\})\Pi_H(dx),\ \lambda \geq 0,$$

and a similar formula holds for $\widehat{\kappa}(q,\cdot)$. Since we are assuming that either $\xi$ drifts to $-\infty$ or has a finite lifetime, $H$ has a finite lifetime, equivalently $\kappa(q,0) > 0$, and $\widehat{H}$ has a finite lifetime if and only if $\xi$ has an finite lifetime.

The celebrated Wiener-Hopf factorisation, in its Fourier transform representation, establishes the equalities

$$\frac{q}{\Psi(\lambda)} = \frac{\kappa(q,0)}{\kappa(q,-i\lambda)} \frac{\widehat{\kappa}(q,0)}{\widehat{\kappa}(q,i\lambda)}, \qquad q = c\kappa(q,0)\widehat{\kappa}(q,0), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},\ q \geq 0, \tag{6}$$

for a constant $c > 0$. This important factorisation is at the root of the development of the fluctuation theory of Lévy processes and can be expressed in many other equivalent forms. One of them, obtained by Fourier inversion, ensures that the renewal measure $U$ can be decomposed in terms of the renewal measures of $H$, and $\widehat{H}$,

$$V_H(dy) = \mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^\infty dt 1_{\{H_t \in dy\}}\right), \qquad V_{\widehat{H}}(dy) = \mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^\infty dt 1_{\{\widehat{H}_t \in dy\}}\right),\ y \geq 0,$$

by means of the formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} U(dy) f(y) = K \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} V_H(du) V_{\widehat{H}}(dv) f(u-v), \tag{7}$$

for every $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ measurable and bounded, and $0 < K < \infty$ is a fixed constant, whose value depends only on the normalisation of the local time at the supremum and infimum of $\xi$, and we can assume w.l.o.g. that $K = 1$. Moreover, since $H$ has finite lifetime, the measure $V_H$ is a finite measure and

furthermore the law of the overall supremum of $\xi$, $\overline{\xi}_\infty = \sup_{0\le s<\zeta} \xi_s$, is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(\overline{\xi}_\infty \in dy) = \kappa(q,0)V_H(dy), \qquad y \ge 0. \tag{8}$$

In this notation the equation (3) can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{P}(I > t) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{P}(\overline{\xi}_\infty \in dy)\mathcal{L}(te^{-y}), \qquad t > 0, \tag{9}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}(s) := \frac{1}{\kappa(q,0)} \int_{[0,\infty} V_{\widehat{H}}(dz)h(se^z), \qquad s > 0.$$

The latter equation is at the root of the identity (11) below.

If moreover, $\xi$ has a finite lifetime, that is $q > 0$, then also $\widehat{\kappa}(q,0) > 0$ and by duality the law of $\underline{\xi}_\infty = -\inf_{0\le s<\zeta} \xi_s$, is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{\xi}_\infty \in dy) = \widehat{\kappa}(q,0)V_{\widehat{H}}(dy), \qquad y \ge 0.$$

In that case the equation (3) reads

$$\kappa(q,0)\widehat{\kappa}(q,0)\,\mathbb{P}(I > t) = \mathbf{E}\left(k(t\exp\{\underline{\xi}_\infty - \overline{\xi}_\infty\})\right), \qquad t \ge 0,$$

where $\underline{\xi}_\infty$ and $\overline{\xi}_\infty$ are taken as independent copies of the overall supremum and infimum. Another version of the Wiener-Hopf factorisation, obtained by Vigon [63], establishes a relation between the measures $\Pi$, $\Pi_H$ and $V_{\widehat{H}}$, see the Section 5.3 in [21] or Theorem 6.22 in [40] for a precise statement.

## 1.2 Distributional identities

In what follows we aim at deriving from our key identity further distributional identities for exponential functionals, and in particular to provide an alternative approach to the factorisation identity obtained by Pardo, Patie and Savov [48] and Patie and Savov [52] and [53]. To describe that factorization we require a key result obtained by Bertoin and Yor in [10], see also Theorem 2 in [12].

**Lemma 1.** *Assume that $\xi = -\sigma$, with $\sigma$ a possibly killed subordinator with Laplace exponent $\phi$,*

$$\phi(\lambda) = -\log \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\{-\lambda\sigma_1\}, 1 < \zeta\right), \qquad \lambda \ge 0;$$

*and take $I_{-\sigma} := \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma_s} ds$. There exists a random variable $R_\sigma$ whose law is determined by its entire moments, which satisfy the recurrence relation*

$$\mathbb{E}(R_\sigma^\lambda) = \phi(\lambda)\,\mathbb{E}(R_\sigma^{\lambda-1}), \qquad \lambda > 0.$$

*The identity is true in the limit sense if $\lambda = 0$. In particular,*

$$\mathbb{E}(R_\sigma^n) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \phi(k), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*If $R_\sigma$ and $I_{-\sigma}$ are taken independent then*

$$R_\sigma I_{-\sigma} \overset{Law}{=} \mathbf{e}_1,$$

*with $\mathbf{e}_1$ an exponential random variable of parameter 1. The random variable $R_\sigma$ is called the* **residual exponential functional** *associated to the subordinator $\sigma$.*

**Hereafter and unless otherwise stated, for a pair non-negative r.v. $(X, Y)$ in a product $XY$, we will assume that there is independence of the factors.**

We have now all the elements to state the striking Wiener-Hopf type factorisation for $I$, (10) below, obtained in [48], [52] and [53]; which is the first main instance where we would like apply Theorem 1 to contribute to the understanding of the law of the exponential functional $I$. More precisely, we add to that factorisation the identity (11), suggested by (8). These will be very useful in deriving the asymptotic behaviour of the distribution of $I$ in the forthcoming Theorems 4 and 5.

**Theorem 2.** *Let $R_H$ the residual exponential functional associated to the upward ladder height subordinator $H$ and $I_{-\widehat{H}}$ the exponential functional of the negative of the downward ladder height $\widehat{H}$. Assume $I_{-\widehat{H}}$ and $R_H$ are independent. We have $\mathbb{E}(R_H^{-1}) = (\kappa(q,0))^{-1}$ and the random variable, $J_H$, whose law is defined by*

$$\mathbb{P}(J_H \in dy) = \kappa(q,0) y \, \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{R_H} \in dy\right), \qquad y \geq 0,$$

*is such that*

$$I \overset{Law}{=} J_H I_{-\widehat{H}}. \tag{10}$$

*Furthermore, we have the identities*

$$J_H \overset{Law}{=} e^{\overline{\xi}_\infty} \frac{1}{R_H}, \qquad I \overset{Law}{=} e^{\overline{\xi}_\infty} \frac{I_{-\widehat{H}}}{R_H}. \tag{11}$$

We are sure that the large potential of applicability of this factorisation will be demonstrated in a near future, as it gives the possibility of deriving properties of exponential functionals using exponential and remainer exponential functionals of subordinators, which are in general simpler objects. In [53] the factorisation has been used to provide a formula for the Mellin transform of $I$ and in [54] it has been used to obtain spectral decompositions of the semigroup of self-similar Markov and related processes. In the sequel we would like to point out a few other consequences of it.

The identity (11) readily implies the finiteness of some negative moments of $I$.

**Corollary 2.** *One has*

$$\mathbb{E}(I^{-\delta}) < \infty, \qquad \forall \delta \in (0,1).$$

This result can be useful in applying the recurrence in Corollary 1. Indeed, it follows from the latter and former Corollaries that for $\delta > 0$, $\mathbb{E}\left(I^{\delta}\right) < \infty$ if and only if $\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\{\delta\xi_1\}, 1 < \zeta\right) < 1$. This fact was proved in [58]. The latter Corollary also gives evidence of an at least linear behaviour near zero for the distribution of $I$, as it will be seen later, as a consequence of Theorem 6.

*Proof of Corollary 2.* Indeed, using that $R_H$ has entire moments of any order it is enough to justify that for any $\delta \in (0,1)$, we have $\mathbb{E}(I_{-\widehat{H}}^{-\delta}) < \infty$. But this is a straightforward consequence of the factorisation in Lemma 1 and that the exponential r.v. has moments of order $-\delta$ for any $\delta \in (0,1)$. □

Moreover, one also sees that the finiteness of the moments of negative (resp. positive) order of $I$ depend only on those of $I_{-\widehat{H}}$ (resp. of $R_H$). This suggests a decomposition of the support of the law of $I$, justifying the name Wiener-Hopf factorisation for the result in Theorem 2.

Furthermore, the equation (10) and Lemma 1 imply that if we take independent copies of $I$, $R_{\widehat{H}}$, $\mathbf{e}_1$ and $J_H$, then the r.v. $IR_{\widehat{H}}$ has the same law as $\mathbf{e}_1 J_H$. As a consequence the law of $IR_{\widehat{H}}$ has a completely monotone density. This elementary remark allow us to easily establish the existence claim in the following Theorem. The uniqueness will be obtained as a further consequence of equation (3).

**Theorem 3.** *The pdf of $I$, say $k$, solves the equation (3) and it is such that the mapping*

$$t \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left(k\left(\frac{t}{R_{\widehat{H}}}\right)\frac{1}{R_{\widehat{H}}}\right), \qquad t > 0,$$

*defines a completely monotone density function with limit $\frac{1}{\kappa(q,0)}$ at 0, viz.*

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\mathbb{E}\left(k\left(\frac{t}{R_{\widehat{H}}}\right)\frac{1}{R_{\widehat{H}}}\right) = \frac{1}{\kappa(q,0)}.$$

*Furthermore, any other pdf with these properties equals $k$ a.e.*

The proof of Theorem 3 will be given in Section 2 after we establish some auxiliary results for exponential and remainder exponential functionals of subordinators in subsection 2.1. We will see that this result can be obtained as a corollary to the forthcoming Proposition 2, to come, whose proof we find particularly interesting because it exploits, in a probabilistic manner, the recursive nature of our main identity (3). Furthermore, Theorem 3 partially settles the natural question of determining whether there is an unique solution to the equation (3). We conjecture that the answer is positive in general, in the sense that if two density functions do satisfy the equation then they should be equal a.e.

## 1.3 Estimates for the distribution of $I$

We will now describe some results with respect to the behaviour at infinity, then at zero, of the distribution of $I$ that can be deduced from the identities in law

in Theorem 2. The first part of our discussion will only concern the case where $\xi$ is not monotone, that is, it is not the negative of a subordinator. Notice that this does not exclude the case where $\xi$ is a killed subordinator, because such a process has no monotone paths as it eventually jumps to its cemetery state $-\infty$. The reason why we exclude the monotone case from our present discussion is that a detailed description of this case has been carried up in [31]. Thus, up to further notice we assume that $\xi$ is not monotone.

In the paper [58] it has been conjectured that the tail behaviour of the distribution of $I$ should satisfy that

$$\mathbf{P}(\log(I) > t) \sim c\,\mathbf{P}(\sup_{s>0} \xi_s > t), \qquad t \to \infty, \tag{12}$$

for some constant $c \in (0, \infty)$. Which in turn is based on the heuristic that the large values of $I$ are due to the large values of $\exp\{\overline{\xi}_\infty\}$. The second identity in law in Theorem 2 establishes that

$$I \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} e^{\overline{\xi}_\infty} \frac{I_{-\widehat{H}}}{R_H},$$

which implies that there is an even stronger relation between the large values of $I$ and those of the absolute supremum of $\xi$. Now, to study the asymptotic behaviour of the tail distribution, the latter identity set us in the context of determining when for independent random variables $X$ and $Y$ we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(XY > t) \sim \mathcal{C}\,\mathbb{P}(X > t), \qquad t \to \infty.$$

Which in a general setting has no solution because of the wide range of distributions that are involved. Nevertheless, in the context of heavy tails, and motivated by Breiman's [14] key result, in recent years very important results have emerged that allow to give a precise answers when either tail distribution is regularly varying, see e.g. [33]. For our ends those results will provide the necessary tools to establish the above conjecture in the most common and tractable case among those possible for exponential functionals of Lévy processes, that of regular variation. According to the Theorem 4.1 in [29] the exponential functionals of non-monotone Lévy process should at least have a power law tail distribution. That is the content of the following Lemma, essentially lifted from [29] .

**Lemma 2.** *Let $\xi$ be a non-monotone Lévy process and $I = \int_0^\infty \exp\{\xi_s\} ds$. The law of $I$ has at least a power law (Pareto) tail:*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}(I > t)}{\log t} > -\infty.$$

*Proof.* We have seen in the argument around (5) that $I$ is a perpetuity. Since $\xi_1 > 0$, with a strictly positive probability, then in the notation of the introduction, $\mathbb{P}(M > 1) > 0$, and hence Theorem 4.1 in [29] implies the claim in the Lemma. □

With this information at hand the following theorem establishes the above described conjecture in the most general and tractable case.

**Theorem 4.** *Let $\xi$ be a non-monotone Lévy process and $I = \int_0^\infty \exp\{\xi_s\}ds$. For $\alpha \geq 0$, the following are equivalent*

*(i) the function $t \mapsto \mathbb{P}(I > t)$ is regularly varying at infinity with index $-\alpha$,*

*(ii) the function $t \mapsto \mathbb{P}(e^{\overline{\xi}_\infty} > t)$ is regularly varying at infinity with index $-\alpha$.*

*In this case*

$$\mathbb{P}(I > t) \sim \mathbb{E}\left(I_{-\widehat{H}}^{\alpha} R_H^{-\alpha}\right) \mathbb{P}(e^{\overline{\xi}_\infty} > t), \qquad t \to \infty.$$

Conditions under which the latter hold have been obtained in [44], [56] and [58]. For sake of reference, and because we offer some refinements, we include them below.

**Definition 1.** A distribution function $G$ s.t. $G(x) < 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, is said to be *convolution equivalent* or *close to exponential* if

(a) it has an exponential tail with rate $\gamma \geq 0$, written $G \in \mathcal{L}_\gamma$, viz.

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\overline{G}(x-y)}{\overline{G}(x)} = e^{\gamma y}, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \overline{G}(x) := 1 - G(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R};$$

(b) and the following limit exists

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\overline{G^{*2}}(x)}{\overline{G}(x)} := 2M < \infty,$$

where as usual $G^{*2}$ means $G$ convoluted with itself twice.

In that case, we use the notation $G \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma$. If $\gamma = 0$, the family $\mathcal{S}_0$ is better known as the class of subexponential distributions. It is known that $M = M_G := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\gamma x} dG(x)$, and that if $\gamma > 0$ the convergence in (a) holds uniformly over intervals of the form $(b, \infty)$, for $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

A result by Pakes [46, 47], see also [64], establishes that if $F$ is an infinitely divisible distribution with Lévy measure $\nu$ and

$$\mu_\nu(x) := \frac{\nu[x \vee 1, \infty)}{\nu[1, \infty)}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

then we have the following equivalences

$$\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma \iff \mu_\nu \in \mathcal{L}_\gamma \text{ and } \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\overline{F}(x)}{\nu[x,\infty)} = M_F \iff F \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma,$$

where by $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma$ we mean the distribution that is in the class $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ and whose right tail equals $\mu_\nu$. We will use the notation $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma$ whenever $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma$. For

further background on convolution equivalent distributions we refer to [46, 47], [64] and the reference therein. We will say that a Lévy process $\xi$ is in $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$, for some $\gamma \geq 0$, if the law of $\xi_1$, conditionally on $\{1 < \zeta\}$, is in $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$ or equivalently its Lévy measure $\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma$.

**Theorem 5.** *(i) Assume $\xi_1$ is no-lattice and there exists a $\theta > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\exp(\theta\xi_1)1_{\{1<\zeta\}}\right] = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}\left[\xi_1^+ \exp(\theta\xi_1)1_{\{1<\zeta\}}\right] < \infty.$$

*In this case we have that*

$$t^\theta \, \mathbf{P}(I > t) \xrightarrow[t\to\infty]{} \frac{\mathbb{E}(I^{\theta-1})}{\mathbb{E}(\xi_1 e^{\theta\xi_1})} \in (0, \infty).$$

*(ii) Assume that $\xi$ is not spectrally negative, and $\xi \in \mathcal{S}_0$. When $q = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(|\xi_1|) < \infty$ and $0 < \mu = -\mathbb{E}(\xi_1) < \infty$, we have that*

$$\mathbb{P}(I > t) \sim \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\log(t)}^{\infty} \overline{\Pi}^+(s)ds, \qquad t \to \infty.$$

*When $q > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(I > t) \sim \overline{\Pi}^+(\log(t)), \qquad t \to \infty.$$

*(iii) Assume that $\xi \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$, and $\mathbf{E}(e^{\alpha\xi_1}, 1 < \zeta) < 1$. We have that $\mathbb{E}(I^\alpha) < \infty$, and*

$$\mathbb{P}(I > t) \sim \frac{\mathbb{E}(I^\alpha)}{-\psi(\alpha)} \overline{\Pi}^+(\log(t)), \qquad t \to \infty,$$

*where $\psi(\alpha) = \log(\mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha\xi_1}1_{\{1<\zeta\}}))$.*

To give a larger panorama of the behaviour of the distribution function of $I$ we will now explain how the factorisation in Theorem 2 also gives the behaviour of the distribution at zero. In the sequel we allow the case where $\xi$ is monotone and exclude instead the case where $\xi$ is spectrally positive. For details about the case where $\xi$ is spectrally positive the reader is referred to [54].

**Theorem 6.** *Assume that $\xi$ is not spectrally positive. For $\alpha \geq 0$, the following are equivalent*

- *the function $t \mapsto \mathbb{P}(I \leq t)$ is regularly varying at 0 with index $\alpha$,*
- *the function $t \mapsto \mathbb{P}(I_{-\widehat{H}} \leq t)$ is regularly varying at 0 with index $\alpha$.*

*In this case*

$$\mathbb{P}(I \leq t) \sim \kappa(q, 0)\, \mathbb{E}\left(R_H^{\alpha-1}\right) \mathbb{P}(I_{-\widehat{H}} \leq t), \qquad t \to 0.$$

For sake of completeness in the following result we provide sufficient conditions for the regular variation of the distribution of $I$. From the conditions below we see that the regular variation of the distribution of $I$ holds under very mild assumptions.

**Theorem 7.** *Assume that $\xi$ is not spectrally positive.*

*(i) If $q > 0$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}(I \leq t) \sim qt, \qquad t \to 0+.$$

*(ii) If $q = 0$, and the left tail Lévy measure $\overline{\Pi}^-(x) = \Pi(-\infty, -x)$, $x > 0$, has exponential decrease, i.e. $\exists \alpha \geq 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\overline{\Pi}^-(x+y)}{\overline{\Pi}^-(x)} = e^{-\alpha y}, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

*and when $\alpha > 0$ the non-Cramér condition, $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\alpha\xi_1}\right) < 1$, is satisfied, then $\mathbb{E}(I^{-\alpha}) < \infty$ and*

$$\mathbb{P}(I \leq t) \sim \frac{\mathbb{E}(I^{-\alpha})}{1+\alpha} t\overline{\Pi}^-(\log(1/t)) \qquad t \to 0+.$$

We would like to point out that most of the estimates provided in Theorems 5 and 7 could also be obtained from (3) using renewal theoretic arguments, but an specific, perhaps lengthier, argument would be needed for each of them, we have thus opted for the shortest path. See however the proof of Theorem 5.1 in [39], where the argument to prove (i) in Theorem 5 has been developed in the context of Markov additive processes. That argument can be extended to obtain versions of (i) in Theorem 5 when the mean $\mathbf{E}\left[\xi_1^+ \exp(\theta\xi_1)1_{\{1<\zeta\}}\right]$ is infinite using Erickson's strong renewal theorem [24]. The identities in Theorem 2 do not lead to second order estimates for the tail distribution though. So, in the final part of this section we discuss how renewal theoretic arguments can be used for that end.

## 1.4 Second order estimates

To motivate some of our results, let us start by considering the particular case where $\xi$ is spectrally negative. In this case and assuming that either it has a finite lifetime or drifts towards $-\infty$, the upward ladder height process, $H$, is a pure drift subordinator killed at an exponential time of parameter $\theta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}(\exp\{\theta\xi_1\}1_{\{1<\zeta\}}) = 1$. See e.g. [4] Chapter VII. This implies in particular that the potential measure of $H$ takes the form

$$V_H(da) = \theta e^{-\theta a} da, \qquad a > 0.$$

Then the potential measure $U$ can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} U(dy) f(y) = \theta \int_0^\infty da e^{-\theta a} \int_0^\infty V_{\widehat{H}}(db) f(a-b),$$

for any measurable and positive function $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$. It follows from our key identity and elementary manipulations that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(I > t) &= \theta \int_0^\infty dy e^{-\theta y} \int_{[0,\infty)} V_{\widehat{H}}(dz) k_I(te^{-y+z}) \\
&= \frac{\theta}{t^\theta} \int_{[0,\infty)} V_{\widehat{H}}(dz) e^{-\theta z} \int_{(0,te^z)} du u^{\theta-1} k_I(u) \\
&= \frac{\theta}{t^\theta \phi_{\widehat{H}}(\theta)} \mathbb{E}(I^{\theta-1}) - \frac{\theta}{t^\theta} \int_{[0,\infty)} V_{\widehat{H}}(dz) e^{-\theta z} \int_{(te^z,\infty)} du u^{\theta-1} k_I(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefrom we recover in this specific case the result in (i) in Theorem 5

$$t^\theta \, \mathbb{P}(I > t) \xrightarrow[t\to\infty]{} \frac{\theta}{\phi_{\widehat{H}}(\theta)} \mathbb{E}(I^{\theta-1}),$$

and in particular that $\mathbb{P}(I > t)$ is a regularly varying function at infinity with index $-\theta$. We can actually extract from the above identity a convergence order. Indeed, for $z \geq 0$, by an integration by parts and Karamata's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(te^z,\infty)} du u^{\theta-1} k_I(u) \\
&= (te^z)^{\theta-1} \, \mathbb{P}(I > te^z) + (\theta - 1) \int_{te^z}^\infty du u^{\theta-2} \, \mathbb{P}(I > u) \\
&\sim \theta (te^z)^{\theta-1} \, \mathbb{P}(I > te^z) \sim \theta e^{-z} t^{\theta-1} \, \mathbb{P}(I > t).
\end{aligned}$$

The above estimate is uniform in $z > 0$, since the functions $t \mapsto t^{\theta-1} \, \mathbb{P}(I > t)$ and $t \mapsto \int_t^\infty du u^{\theta-2} \, \mathbb{P}(I > u)$, $t > 0$, are regularly varying at infinity with an index $-1$, and hence Theorem 1.5.2 in [13] applies. We have so proved the next result.

**Proposition 1.** *Assume $\xi$ is spectrally negative and take $\theta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}(\exp\{\theta\xi_1\}1_{\{1<\zeta\}}) = 1$. We have the following estimate*

$$|\, \mathbb{P}(I > t) - \frac{\theta}{t^\theta \phi_{\widehat{H}}(\theta)} \mathbb{E}(I^{\theta-1})| \sim \frac{\theta^2}{\phi_{\widehat{H}}(\theta+1)} \frac{1}{t} \, \mathbb{P}(I > t).$$

In a grater generality we have the following result.

**Theorem 8.** *Assume that $\xi$ has an absolutely continuous 1-resolvent*

$$\int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} \, \mathbb{P}(\xi_t \in dy),$$

*with respect to Lebesgue's measure, that $\xi$ is regular upwards and furthermore that there exists a $\theta > 0$ and an integer $m \geq 2$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\theta\xi_1}, 1 < \zeta\right) = 1, \qquad \mathbb{E}\left(|\xi|_1^{m+1} e^{\theta\xi_1}, 1 < \zeta\right) < \infty.$$

*We have that*

$$\sup_{a\leq\delta<\lambda\leq\infty}\left|C_\theta t^\theta\,\mathbb{P}(t\delta<I\leq t\lambda)-\int_\delta^\lambda\frac{dx}{\theta x^{1+\theta}}\right|=o((\log t)^{-(m-1)}),$$

*where* $0<a<\infty$, *and* $C_\theta=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_1 e^{\theta\xi_1},1<\zeta\right)}{\mathbb{E}(I^{\theta-1})}\in(0,\infty)$. *If we have furthermore that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{(\theta+\rho)\xi_1},1<\zeta\right)<\infty,\qquad \textit{for some } \rho>0,$$

*then there exists a* $0<\gamma<1\wedge\rho$ *such that the rate of convergence is of order* $o(t^{-\gamma})$.

Quantifying the rate of convergence of $t^\theta\,\mathbb{P}(I>t)$ towards $C_\theta$ could also be determined using results about the rate of convergence in Kesten's renewal theorem obtained in [28] and [15]. However, the expression for the error in [28] seems rather difficult to make precise and covers only the rate of convergence when there are exponential moments. Besides, the combined moment conditions in [15] are less explicit than the conditions here provided, although the structure for the renewal measure could hold under less regularity on the law of $\xi$ as the one here required. As in [28], our result relies in Stone's decomposition theorem [61] for the renewal measure $U$ which requires a renewal measure with spread-out step distribution, this explains why we require $\xi$ to have an absolutely continuous 1-resolvent.

Moreover, in [31] it has been shown that under the assumptions in (i) in Theorem 5 the exponential functional $I$ belongs to the maximum domain of attraction of a Fréchet distribution of parameter $\theta$. In extreme value theory it is well known that this is equivalent to have that the excess distribution of $I$, defined via its tail, $\overline{F}_t$, as

$$\overline{F}_t(x):=\frac{\mathbb{P}(I-t>x)}{\mathbb{P}(I>t)},\qquad x\geq 0,$$

is such that

$$\overline{F}_t(xt)\xrightarrow[t\to\infty]{}\frac{1}{(1+x)^\theta},\qquad x\geq 0.$$

As a corollary to Theorem 8 we have the following result which in our specific case complements what could be derived from known results in the topic, as for instance those in [55].

**Corollary 3.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 8 we have the estimate*

$$\sup_{x>0}\left|\overline{F}_t(x)-\frac{1}{(1+x/t)^\theta}\right|=o(\log^{-(m-1)}(t))$$

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we prove Theorems 1-3. Then Section 3 is devoted to the proof the Theorems 4–8. Finally, in Section 4 we derive other distributional identities and obtain another version of equation (2).

## 2 Proof of Theorems 1–3

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We will prove the result by showing that the left and right hand side of the equation have the same Laplace transform. Observe that on the one hand an application of Fubini's theorem implies that for any $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}(1 - e^{-\lambda I}) = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}\, \mathbb{P}(I > t) dt. \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, we have the pathwise identity

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \exp\{-\lambda \int_0^\zeta e^{\xi_s} ds\} &= \lambda \int_0^\zeta dt e^{\xi_t} \exp\left\{-\lambda \int_t^\zeta e^{\xi_s} ds\right\} \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\zeta dt e^{\xi_t} \exp\left\{-\lambda e^{\xi_t} \int_0^{(\zeta - t)} e^{\xi_{s+s} - \xi_t} ds\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Now, by the property of independent and stationary increments we know that the r.v.

$$\widetilde{I} := \int_0^{(\zeta - t)} e^{\xi_{s+s} - \xi_t} ds,$$

on the event where $t < \zeta$, has the same law as $I$ and it is independent of $(\xi_u, u \leq t)$. Using this we get the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left(1 - \exp\{-\lambda \int_0^\zeta e^{\xi_s} ds\}\right) \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty dt\, \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\xi_t} \exp\left\{-\lambda e^{\xi_t} \int_0^{(\zeta - t)} e^{\xi_{s+s} - \xi_t} ds\right\} 1_{\{t < \zeta\}}\right), \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty dt\, \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\xi_t}\, \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{-\lambda e^{\xi_t} \int_0^{(\zeta - t)} e^{\xi_{s+s} - \xi_t} ds\right\} \Big| \mathcal{F}_t \cap \{t < \zeta\}\right)\right) \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty dt\, \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\xi_t}\, \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{-\lambda z \widetilde{I}\right\}\right) |_{z = e^{\xi_t}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the definition of the potential measure $U$, and that $\widetilde{I}$ has the same law as $I$, the above can be written as

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(dy) e^y\, \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{-\lambda e^y \widetilde{I}\right\}\right) = \lambda \int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-\lambda u} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(dy) e^y\, \mathbb{P}(e^y I \in du).$$

Putting the pieces together we conclude the equality of measures

$$du\, \mathbb{P}(I > u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(dy) e^y\, \mathbb{P}(e^y I \in du) \qquad \text{on } (0, \infty).$$

Since $I$ has a density, $k$, a change of variables implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(e^y I \in du) = e^{-y} k(u e^{-y}) du, \qquad \text{on } (0, \infty).$$

The result follows. □

## 2.1 Some auxiliary results for remainder exponential functionals

The recurrence relation in Lemma 1 for the moments of the remainder exponential functional $R_\sigma$ was translated into a functional equation for the pdf of $R_\sigma$, when it exists, by Hirsch and Yor [32], under the assumption that the renewal measure, $V_\sigma$, of $\sigma$, has a density on $(0,\infty)$, that we will denote by $v_\sigma$. Namely, Hirsch and Yor [32] proved $R_\sigma$ has a density $f_{R_\sigma}$ and it satisfies the equation

$$f_{R_\sigma}(t) = \int_t^\infty f_{R_\sigma}(y) v_\sigma(\log(y/t)) dy = \int_0^\infty f_{R_\sigma}(te^z) te^z V_\sigma(dz).$$

If we remove the assumption that the potential density of $\sigma$ is absolutely continuous on $(0,\infty)$ the identity above is preserved but in measure form.

**Lemma 3.** *Using the notation of Lemma 1 we have the equality of measures*

$$\frac{1}{t}\,\mathbb{P}(R_\sigma \in dt) = \int_{[0,\infty)} V_\sigma(dy)\,\mathbb{P}(e^{-y} R_\sigma \in dt), \qquad \textit{on } (0,\infty).$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.* Let $\sigma$ be a subordinator and $\widehat{X}$ be the 1-self-similar Markov process associated to $\widehat{\sigma} = -\sigma$ via Lamperti's transformation, see [42] and [40]. That is $\widehat{X}$ is a positive valued strong Markov process killed at its first hitting time of 0, $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : \widehat{X}_t = 0\}$, and it has the scaling property: for $c > 0$, the law of the process $(c\widehat{X}_{t/c},\ t \geq 0)$ issued from $\widehat{X}_0 = x$ equals that of $(\widehat{X}_t, t \geq 0)$ issued from $\widehat{X}_0 = cx$. Furthermore, it can be represented as

$$\widehat{X}_t = x \exp\{\widehat{\sigma}_{\tau(t/x)}\} 1_{\{\tau(t/x)<\infty\}}, \qquad \tau(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \int_0^s du \exp\{\widehat{\sigma}_u\} > t\},$$

where $\widehat{X}_0 = x > 0$ and with the usual convention that $\inf\{\emptyset\} = \infty$. We will denote $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_z$ the law of $\widehat{X}$ issued from $\widehat{X}_0 = z > 0$. In [10] it has been proved that the law of $R_\sigma$ is a quasi-stationary law for $\widehat{X}$, that is that the following identity of measures holds for any $t \geq 0$

$$e^{-t}\,\mathbb{P}(R_\sigma \in dy) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{P}(R_\sigma \in dz) \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_z(\widehat{X}_t \in dy, t < T_0), \qquad \text{on } [0,\infty).$$

Integrating this equation in $t$ we get

$$\frac{1}{y}\,\mathbb{P}(R_\sigma \in dy) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{P}(R_\sigma \in dz) \int_0^\infty dt \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_z(\widehat{X}_t \in dy, t < T_0) \frac{1}{y}, \tag{15}$$

on $[0,\infty)$. The self-similarity and Lamperti's transformation give the following

identities, for $f:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}^+$ positive and measurable and any $z>0$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty dt\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_z\left(f(\widehat{X}_t)\frac{1}{\widehat{X}_t},t<T_0\right)&=\int_0^\infty\frac{dt}{z}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_1\left(f\left(z\widehat{X}_{t/z}\right)\frac{1}{\widehat{X}_{t/z}},t<T_0\right)\\&=\int_0^\infty ds\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_1\left(f\left(z\widehat{X}_s\right)\frac{1}{\widehat{X}_s},t<T_0\right)\\&=\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\infty dsf\left(z\exp\{\widehat{\sigma}_{\tau(s)}\}\right)\exp\{-\widehat{\sigma}_{\tau(s)}\}1_{\{\tau(s)<\infty\}}\right)\\&=\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\infty dvf\left(z\exp\{-\sigma_v\}\right)1_{\{v<\zeta\}}\right)\\&=\int_{[0,\infty)}V_\sigma(dy)f(ze^{-y})\end{aligned}$$

where in the first equality we use the self-similarity, in the second the change of variables $s=tz^{-1}$, in the third we use Lamperti's transformation, and finally in the fourth we make the change of variables $v=\tau(s)$. The above identity and the equality of measures (15) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{[0,\infty)}f(y)\frac{1}{y}\,\mathbb{P}(R_\sigma\in dy)&=\int_{[0,\infty)}\mathbb{P}(R_\sigma\in dz)\int_0^\infty dt\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_z\left(f(\widehat{X}_t)\frac{1}{\widehat{X}_t},t<T_0\right)\\&=\int_{[0,\infty)}\mathbb{P}(R_\sigma\in dz)\int_{[0,\infty)}V_\sigma(dy)f(ze^{-y}),\end{aligned}$$

for any $f:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}^+$ positive and measurable. This implies the claimed equality of measures. □

In the particular case where $\sigma$ is a subordinator with finite lifetime a.s. the measure $\phi(0)V_\sigma(dy)$ is a probability measure and hence the above result can be interpreted as follows.

**Corollary 4.** *Assume $\sigma$ is a subordinator with finite lifetime a.s. Let $G^{(0)}$ denote the r.v. with law given by $\phi(0)V_\sigma(dy)$, $J_\sigma$ the r.v. with law*

$$\mathbb{P}(J_\sigma\in dy)=\phi(0)y\,\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{R_\sigma}\in dy\right),\qquad y>0.$$

*We have the equality in law*

$$J_\sigma\overset{Law}{=}e^{G^{(0)}}\frac{1}{R_\sigma},$$

*where the factors on the right hand side of the equality in law are assumed to be independent.*

In [3] and [32] it has been proved that $\log R_\sigma$ is an infinitely divisible random variable whose Lévy measure is carried by $(-\infty,0)$, and has been described in [1]

in terms of the so-called harmonic potential of $\sigma$. Moreover, it is a consequence of Theorem 3 in [1] that $R_\sigma$ can be written as the infinite product

$$R_\sigma \overset{(\mathrm{d})}{=} \phi(1) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left\{\widetilde{\phi}_k(1) - G^{(k)}\right\} = e^{-\gamma_\sigma} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left\{\mathbb{E}[G^{(k)}] - G^{(k)}\right\}, \quad (16)$$

where $(G^{(k)}, k \geq 1)$ are independent random variables such that for $k \geq 1$ $G^{(k)}$ has a law

$$\mathbb{P}(G^{(k)} \in dx) = \phi(k) e^{-kx} V_\sigma(dx), \quad x \geq 0, \quad (17)$$

and mean $\mathbb{E}[G^{(k)}] = \frac{\phi'(k)}{\phi(k)}$; $\widetilde{\phi}_k$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\phi}_k(1) = \log \frac{\phi(k+1)}{\phi(k)},$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ and the constant $\gamma_\sigma$ is given by

$$\gamma_\sigma = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \sum_1^n \frac{\phi'(j)}{\phi(j)} - \log \phi(n) \right). \quad (18)$$

The identity (16) will be useful in proving the following result from where Theorem 3 will be deduced.

**Proposition 2.** *Let $\sigma$ be a killed subordinator and $W$ be a $[0, \infty)$-valued r.v. with a pdf $h_W$ which solves the equation*

$$\mathbb{P}(W > t) = \int_t^\infty h_W(u) du = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_W(te^{-a}) V_\sigma(da), \qquad t > 0, \quad (19)$$

*and $h_W$ is completely monotone. We have that*

$$W = \mathbf{e}_1 \, e^{G^{(0)}} \frac{1}{R_\sigma}$$

*with $G^{(0)}$ the r.v. with law given by $\phi(0) V_\sigma(dy)$.*

The proof of this Proposition relies in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.** *Let $W$ be as in the above Proposition and $(G^{(k)}, k \geq 0)$ independent r.v. such that the law of $G^{(n)}$ is given by (17). For any $n \geq 0$ there is a r.v. $\ell_n$ independent of $(G^{(k)}, 0 \leq k \leq n)$ such that the equality in law*

$$W \overset{Law}{=} \ell_n \prod_{k=0}^{n} \exp\{G^{(k)}\}, \quad (20)$$

*holds and*

$$\mathbb{P}(\ell_n > t) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\prod_{j=0}^n \phi(j)} h_W^{(n)}(t), \qquad t > 0,$$

*with $h_W^{(n)}$ the $n$-th derivative of $h_W$.*

*Proof of Lemma 4.* We will prove this lemma by recurrence. For that end we start by setting some properties of the density $h_W$. Since $h_W$ is non increasing, we can apply Fatou's lemma and the monotone convergence theorem, respectively, and that the total mass of $V_\sigma$ is $\frac{1}{\phi(0)}$, to obtain from (19) that $\lim_{t\to 0} h_W(t) = \phi(0)$, and $\lim_{t\to\infty} h_W(t) = 0$. Furthermore, differentiating $n$-times both sides of the equation (19) we obtain

$$(-1)^n h_W^{(n)}(t) = (-1)^{n+1} \int_{[0,\infty)} V_\sigma(dy) e^{-ny} h_W^{(n+1)}(te^{-y}), \qquad t > 0. \tag{21}$$

We claim that for any $n \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} (-1)^n h_W^{(n)}(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{n} \phi(j), \text{ and } \lim_{t\to\infty} (-1)^n h_W^{(n)}(t) = 0.$$

For $n = 0$, we just established this fact. Assuming that the claim is true for $n$ we readily derive the result for $n+1$ from (21) using the monotone convergence theorem and the identity

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} V_\sigma(dy) e^{-\lambda y} = \frac{1}{\phi(\lambda)}, \qquad \lambda \geq 0.$$

These facts allow us to take $\ell_0$ as a r.v. independent of $G^{(0)}$ whose tail distribution is

$$\mathbb{P}(\ell_0 > t) = \frac{1}{\phi(0)} h_W(t), \qquad t > 0. \tag{22}$$

The identity (19) can then be expressed as

$$\mathbb{P}(W > t) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{P}(G^{(0)} \in dy)\, \mathbb{P}(\ell_0 > te^{-y}) = \mathbb{P}(e^{G^{(0)}} \ell_0 > t), \qquad t > 0.$$

This proves the claim of the lemma for $n = 0$. We next assume that the result holds for $n \geq 0$. The equation (19) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(W > t) = \mathbb{P}(\ell_n \prod_{k=0}^{n} \exp\{G^{(k)}\} > t) \\
&= \int \cdots \int_{[0,\infty)^n} \mathbb{P}(G^{(0)} \in dy_1) \cdots \mathbb{P}(G^{(n)} \in dy_n) \frac{(-1)^n}{\prod_{j=0}^{n} \phi(j)} h_W^{(n)}(te^{-(y_1+\cdots+y_n)}),
\end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$. By plugging the identity (21) in the latter equation we infer the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(W > t) \\
&= \int \cdots \int_{[0,\infty)^n} \mathbb{P}(G^{(0)} \in dy_1) \cdots \mathbb{P}(G^{(n)} \in dy_n) \\
&\qquad \int_{[0,\infty)} V_\sigma(dy_{n+1}) e^{-(n+1)y} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{n} \phi(j)} h_W^{(n+1)}(te^{-(y_1+\cdots+y_{n+1})}) \\
&= \int \cdots \int_{[0,\infty)^{n+1}} \mathbb{P}(G^{(0)} \in dy_1) \cdots \mathbb{P}(G^{(n+1)} \in dy_{n+1}) \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{n+1} \phi(j)} h_W^{(n+1)}(te^{-(y_1+\cdots+y_{n+1})}),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $t > 0$. This establishes the claim for $n+1$ and hence concludes the proof. □

*Proof of Proposition 2.* According to the factorisation (16) we have the convergence in distribution

$$\begin{aligned} R_\sigma &\stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(1) \exp\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( G^{(j)} - \log\left(\phi(k+1)/\phi(k)\right)\right)\right\} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\phi(n+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp\{G^{(j)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This fact together with the identity in Lemma 4 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} W &\stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} e^{G^{(0)}} \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\phi(n+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp\{G^{(j)}\} \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(n+1)\ell_n \\ &\stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} e^{G^{(0)}} \frac{1}{R_\sigma} \ell, \end{aligned}$$

with $\ell$ the weak limit of $\phi(n+1)\ell_n$ as $n \to \infty$. We should now verify that $\ell \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} \mathbf{e}_1$ .

From the proof of Lemma 4 we derive that the r.v. $\ell_0$ with tail distribution given by (22) has the same law as $\frac{1}{R_\sigma}\ell$. Since $\frac{1}{\phi(0)} h_W$ is a completely monotone function with limit 1 at 0, Bernstein theorem implies that there is a probability measure $\mu$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\ell_0 > t) = \frac{1}{\phi(0)} h_W(t) = \int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-tx} \mu(dx), \qquad t \geq 0.$$

From the properties for $h_W$ proved in Lemma 4 together with Lemma 1 we get

$$\lim_{t\to 0} (-1)^n h_W^{(n)}(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{n} \phi(j) = \int_{[0,\infty)} x^n \mu(dx) = \mathbb{E}(R_\sigma^n), \qquad n \geq 0. \tag{23}$$

Since the law of $R_\sigma$ is moment determinate we derive that the probability measure $\mu(dy)$ equals $\mathbb{P}(R_\sigma \in dy)$. These facts allow us to ensure

$$\mathbb{P}(\ell_0 > t) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(dy)\, \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{e}_1 > ty) = \mathbb{P}\left( \mathbf{e}_1 \frac{1}{R_\sigma} > t \right), \qquad t > 0,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_1$ is a standard exponential r.v. independent of $R_\sigma$. Said otherwise

$$\frac{1}{R_\sigma}\ell \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} \frac{1}{R_\sigma}\, \mathbf{e}_1 \,.$$

Finally, since the r.v $\log R_\sigma$ is infinitely divisible its characteristic function has no zeros and hence the latter equality in law leads to the identity

$$\mathbb{E}\left(R_\sigma^{-i\lambda}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\ell^{i\lambda}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(R_\sigma^{-i\lambda} \ell^{i\lambda}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(R_\sigma^{-i\lambda}\, \mathbf{e}_1^{i\lambda}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(R_\sigma^{-i\lambda}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{e}_1^{i\lambda}\right)$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\ell^{i\lambda}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{e}_1^{i\lambda}\right), \qquad \text{for any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\,.$$

By uniqueness of the Fourier transform we conclude that $\ell \overset{\text{Law}}{=} \mathbf{e}_1$. □

As a consequence of Proposition 2 we have the following Corollary.

**Corollary 5.** *Let $\sigma$ be a killed subordinator and $R_\sigma$ be the residual exponential functional associated to it. In the notation of Proposition 2 we have the equality in law*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\sigma_s} ds \overset{Law}{=} \mathbf{e}_1\, e^{G^{(0)}}\, \frac{1}{R_\sigma}$$

*Proof.* We start by observing that from Theorem 1 the r.v. $I_\sigma := \int_0^\infty \exp\{\sigma_s\} ds$ has a density $h_{I_\sigma}$, that satisfies the identity (25), i.e.

$$\int_t^\infty h_{I_\sigma}(u)du = \int_{[0,\infty)} h_{I_\sigma}(te^{-a})V_\sigma(da), \qquad t > 0.$$

Since $\sigma$ is a killed subordinator Corollary 2.2 in [50] implies that $I_\sigma$ is a mixture of exponentials, that is that its density is a completely monotone function and thus can be represented as

$$h_{I_\sigma}(t) = \int_{(0,\infty)} e^{-tz}\mu(dz), \qquad t > 0,$$

with $\mu$ a measure on $(0,\infty)$ such that $\int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{1}{z}\mu(dz) = 1$; see e.g. [59] Section 51 for background on mixtures of exponentials. Corollary 2.2 in [50] establishes furthermore that $h_{I_\sigma}(t) \to \phi(0)$ as $t \to 0$, and hence the total mass of $\mu$ is $\phi(0)$. The result follows from the uniqueness in Proposition 2. □

## 2.2 Proof of Theorem 3

*Proof of Theorem 3.* As we mentioned before, that the r.v. $R_{\widehat{H}} I$ has a density that is completely monotone follows from the equation (10) and Lemma 1. We will now prove the uniqueness. For that end we assume there is a r.v. $Z$ on $(0,\infty)$ which has a density $h_Z$ such that the following identity is satisfied

$$\mathbb{P}(Z > t) = \int_t^\infty h_Z(u)du = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_Z(te^{-y})U(dy), \qquad \text{a.e. } t > 0. \tag{24}$$

Let $R_{\widehat{H}}$ be the residual exponential functional associated to the downward ladder height subordinator $\widehat{H}$, and assume that $R_{\widehat{H}}$ and $Z$ are independent. We claim that the r.v. $W = R_{\widehat{H}} Z$ has a density $h_W$ which solves the equation

$$\mathbb{P}(W > t) = \int_t^\infty h_W(u)du = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_W(te^{-a})V_H(da), \qquad \text{a.e. } t > 0, \tag{25}$$

where $V_H$ is as before the potential measure of the upward ladder height subordinator $H$. To prove that this is indeed the case notice first that from (24) and the Wiener-Hopf factorization in (7) for the potential $U$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(W > t) = \mathbb{P}(ZR_{\widehat{H}} > t) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{E}\left(h_Z\left(\frac{te^{-a}}{R_{\widehat{H}}e^{-b}}\right)\right) V_H(da)V_{\widehat{H}}(db),$$

for a.e. $t > 0$; then using Lemma 3 we derive the equality

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{E}\left(h_Z\left(\frac{s}{R_{\widehat{H}}e^{-b}}\right)\right) V_{\widehat{H}}(db) = \mathbb{E}\left(h_Z\left(\frac{s}{R_{\widehat{H}}}\right)\frac{1}{R_{\widehat{H}}}\right), \qquad s > 0.$$

Our claim follows therefrom since the right hand side of the equation above equals the density of the r.v. $W = ZR_{\widehat{H}}$. Since by hypotheses the mapping in Theorem 3 defines a completely monotone function it follows from the monotone convergence theorem that (25) holds for all $t > 0$.

From Proposition 2 and Lemma 1 we obtain the equality in law

$$ZR_{\widehat{H}} \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} e^{G^{(0)}} \frac{1}{R_H}\,\mathbf{e}_1 \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} e^{G^{(0)}} \frac{1}{R_H} R_{\widehat{H}} I_{-\widehat{H}}. \tag{26}$$

As before, since the r.v. $\log R_{\widehat{H}}$ is infinitely divisible, its characteristic function has no zeros, and hence the latter identity allow us to conclude

$$Z \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} e^{G^{(0)}} \frac{1}{R_H} I_{-\widehat{H}}. \tag{27}$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 2.* The first claim in this theorem has been proved in [48], [52] and [53]. Let us however provide an alternative proof based in Theorem 1 and Theorem 3. Let $k_{\widehat{H}}$ denote the density of the exponential functional $I_{-\widehat{H}}$. Theorem 1 implies that for $t > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(I_{-\widehat{H}}J_H > t) = \kappa(q,0) \int_{[0,\infty)} V_{\widehat{H}}(dy) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{P}(R_H \in du)\frac{1}{u} k_{\widehat{H}}(tue^y),$$

with $I_{-\widehat{H}}$ and $J_H$ independent. Then Lemma 3 implies that the above expression equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\kappa(q,0) \int_{[0,\infty)} V_{\widehat{H}}(dy) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{P}(R_H \in du)\frac{1}{u} k_{\widehat{H}}(tue^y) \\
&= \kappa(q,0) \int_{[0,\infty)} V_{\widehat{H}}(dy) \int_{[0,\infty)} V_H(dw) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{P}(R_H \in ds) k_{\widehat{H}}(tse^{-(w-y)}).
\end{aligned}$$

The function $\mathcal{F}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(v) = \kappa(q,0) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathbb{P}(R_H \in ds) k_{\widehat{H}}(sv), \qquad v \geq 0,$$

is a probability density function and an elementary verification shows that this is the density of $Z := I_{-\widehat{H}} J_H$. It follows from Lemma 1 that the equality in law

$$W := R_{\widehat{H}} Z \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} \mathbf{e}_1 J_H,$$

holds. This has as a consequence that the pdf of $W$ is given by

$$k_W(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{t}{R_{\widehat{H}}}\right)\frac{1}{R_{\widehat{H}}}\right) = \kappa(q,0)\,\mathbb{E}(\exp\{-tR_H\}), \qquad t > 0.$$

This implies that $k_W$ is a completely monotone function with limit $\kappa(q,0)$ at 0. Theorem 3 implies that $I$ has the same law as $I_{-\widehat{H}} J_H$. Then the identity in law

$$J_H \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} e^{\overline{\xi}_\infty}\frac{1}{R_H}$$

is just a consequence of Corollary 4 using that the law of the supremum of $\overline{\xi}_\infty$ has distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(\overline{\xi}_\infty \in dy) = \kappa(q,0)V_H(dy), \qquad y \geq 0.$$

The final identity in law in the Theorem is a consequence of the latter. □

# 3 Asymptotic behaviour of the distribution of $I$

We devote this Section to establish Theorems 4–8

*Proof of Theorem 4, (ii) implies (i).* If (ii) is satisfied we have that $\mathbb{E}(e^{\beta\overline{\xi}_\infty}) < \infty$ for any $\beta < \alpha$, and by the spatial Wiener-Hopf factorisation, see [35] Proposition 5.1, this implies that

$$0 < \mathbb{E}(e^{\beta\xi_1}1_{\{1<\zeta\}}) < 1, \qquad \beta \in (0,\alpha).$$

By Lemma 3 in [58] this implies that $\mathbb{E}(I^\beta) < \infty$ for any $0 < \beta < \alpha$. The factorisation in Theorem 2 implies that $\mathbb{E}(I^\beta_{-\widehat{H}}) < \infty$ and more importantly

$$\mathbb{E}(R_H^{-\beta-1}) < \infty, \qquad \beta < \alpha.$$

Because of this and the fact that $I_{-\widehat{H}}$ has entire moments of any order we have that there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(I_{-\widehat{H}}R_H^{-1}\right)^{\alpha+\epsilon}\right) < \infty.$$

By Breiman's classical result [14], Proposition 3, we infer that

$$\mathbb{P}(e^{\overline{\xi}_\infty} I_{-\widehat{H}} R_H^{-1} > t) \sim \mathbb{E}\left(\left(I_{-\widehat{H}}R_H^{-1}\right)^{\alpha}\right)\mathbb{P}(e^{\overline{\xi}_\infty} > t), \qquad t \to \infty.$$

We conclude the proof by recalling the second factorisation in Theorem 2. □

*Proof of Theorem 4, (i) implies (ii).* We assume that (i) holds. In view of the second factorisation in Theorem 2 and according to Theorem 4.2 in [33] (ii) holds true as soon as

$$\mathbb{E}((I_{-\widehat{H}}R_H^{-1})^{\alpha+i\lambda}) \neq 0, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

whenever $\mathbb{E}((I_{-\widehat{H}}R_H^{-1})^{\alpha+\delta}) < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$. To verify these facts we will start by showing that

$$\mathbb{E}((I_{-\widehat{H}}R_H^{-1})^{\alpha+\delta}) < \infty,$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Since $I_{-\widehat{H}}$ has entire moments of any order it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{E}(R_H^{-\alpha-\delta}) < \infty$. From the regular variation of the tail distribution of $I$ it follows as above that we have even more, namely $\mathbb{E}(R_H^{-\beta-1}) < \infty$, for all $\beta < \alpha$. Next, in the paper [3] and [1] it has been proved that the logarithm of the remainder exponential functional of any subordinator is infinitely divisible, and so is $\log R_H$. Moreover, the probability measure

$$\mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)}(R_H \in dy) := \frac{y^{-\alpha}}{\mathbb{E}\,(R^{-\alpha})}\,\mathbb{P}(R_H \in dy),$$

is an Esscher transform of the law of $\log R_H$. According to Theorem 33.1 in [59] under this probability measure $\log R_H$ still is an infinitely divisible r.v. and therefore its characteristic function does not take the value zero

$$\mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(\exp\{i\lambda \log R_H\}\right) = \mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(R_H^{i\lambda}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(R^{i\lambda-\alpha})}{\mathbb{E}(R^{-\alpha})} \neq 0.$$

We are left to prove that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(I_{-\widehat{H}}^{\alpha+i\lambda}\right) \neq 0, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\,.$$

By the factorisation in Lemma 1 for the exponential r.v. we have the equality

$$\Gamma(1+\beta+i\lambda) = \int_0^\infty x^{\beta+i\lambda}e^{-x}dx = \mathbb{E}(I_{-\widehat{H}}^{\beta+i\lambda})\,\mathbb{E}(R_{\widehat{H}}^{\beta+i\lambda}), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > -1,$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ denotes the usual Gamma function at $z \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\Re(z) > 0$. Since the Gamma function has no zeros our claim follows. □

Since most of the estimates provided in Theorem 5 are essentially known, we provide only a sketch of proof.

*Sketch of proof for Theorem 5.* The result in (i) has been proved in [56], it can also be obtained as a Corollary to Theorem 4 using the estimates for the law of the supremum in [7]. In the case where $q = 0$ the result in (ii) was obtained [44], but they had it expressed in terms of the tail distribution of $\xi_1$. The version here presented is obtained as a consequence of the Theorem 4, the estimates for the law of the supremum in Theorem 4.1 in [35], and the estimate for the tail Lévy measure of $H$ in Theorem 3 in [57]. The result in (iii), when $q = 0$,

has been established in [58] under the extra assumption that when $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\mathbf{E}(|\xi_1|) < \infty$; we remove this assumption by applying Theorem 4 and using the estimates for the law of the supremum in [35].

For $q > 0$ the results in (ii) and (iii) can be obtained adapting the results in Theorem 4.1 in [35], which were proved under the assumption that $q = 0$, but a perusal of their arguments allows to guarantee that the results are valid for $q \geq 0$. For we just need to observe the following. First, mimicking the arguments in Proposition 17 in Section VI in [4] it is not difficult to verify that the law of the supremum of $\xi$ on $(0, \zeta)$, is equal to the law of a subordinator with infinite lifetime $\mathcal{H}$ and Laplace exponent $\lambda \mapsto \kappa(q, \lambda) - \kappa(q, 0)$, sampled at an independent exponential time of parameter $\kappa(q, 0)$, that we will denote by $\mathbf{e}_{\kappa(q,0)}$, viz.

$$\overline{\xi}_\infty = \sup_{0<s<\zeta} \xi_s \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{e}_{\kappa(q,0)}}.$$

Moreover, the upward ladder height $H$ has the same law as $\mathcal{H}$ killed at $\mathbf{e}_{\kappa(q,0)}$. According to Exercise 7.5 in [40] the tail Lévy measure of $\mathcal{H}$ is obtained from $\Pi$ by Vigon's identity and

$$\overline{\Pi}_H(x) = \overline{\Pi}_{\mathcal{H}}(x) = \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(x, \infty) = \int_{[0,\infty)} V_{\widehat{H}}(dy)\overline{\Pi}(x + y, \infty), \qquad x > 0.$$

Since $\widehat{H}$ is also a killed subordinator, its potential measure $V_{\widehat{H}}(dy)$ is a renewal measure with finite total mass and Laplace transform

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} V_{\widehat{H}}(dy)e^{-\lambda y} = \frac{1}{\widehat{\kappa}(q, \lambda)}, \qquad \lambda \geq 0.$$

The arguments in the proof of Proposition 5.3 in [35] apply to show that when $\alpha > 0$, if $\overline{\Pi}^+$ has an exponential decrease with index $\alpha$, then $\overline{\Pi}_{\widehat{H}}$ bears the same property and also

$$\overline{\Pi}^+(x) \sim \widehat{\kappa}(q, \alpha)\overline{\Pi}_H(x), \qquad x \to \infty.$$

We can now follow the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [35], both when $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha = 0$, to deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{e}_{\kappa(q,0)}} > x) \sim \frac{\kappa(q, 0)}{(\kappa(q, -\alpha))^2}\overline{\Pi}_{\mathcal{H}}(x), \qquad x \to \infty.$$

When $\alpha > 0$, the rightmost term behaves asymptotically as $x \to \infty$ like

$$\frac{\kappa(q, 0)}{\kappa(q, -\alpha)} \frac{1}{\kappa(q, -\alpha)\widehat{\kappa}(q, \alpha)}\overline{\Pi}^+(x).$$

In this case the Wiener-Hopf factorization implies that $\kappa(q, -\alpha)\widehat{\kappa}(q, \alpha) = -\psi(\alpha)$, with $\psi(\alpha)$ as defined in the statement of the Theorem. According to Theorem 4

we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(I>t) &\sim \mathbb{E}\left(I^{\alpha}_{-\widehat{H}}R_H^{-\alpha}\right)\frac{\kappa(q,0)}{(\kappa(q,-\alpha))^2}\overline{\Pi}_H(\log(t))\\ &\sim \mathbb{E}\left(I^{\alpha}_{-\widehat{H}}R_H^{-\alpha}\right)\frac{\kappa(q,0)}{\kappa(q,-\alpha)}\frac{1}{-\psi(\alpha)}\overline{\Pi}^{+}(\log(t)).\end{aligned}$$

To finish the case $\alpha>0$ we just need to verify the equality of constants

$$\mathbb{E}(I^{\alpha})=\mathbb{E}\left(I^{\alpha}_{-\widehat{H}}R_H^{-\alpha}\right)\frac{\kappa(q,0)}{\kappa(q,-\alpha)}.$$

But this follows from the identity in law in Theorem 2 and the Lemma 3. Now, when $\alpha=0$, Theorem 4 ensures that

$$\mathbb{P}(I>t)\sim\frac{1}{\kappa(q,0)}\overline{\Pi}_H(\log(t)),\qquad t\to\infty.$$

So, we should now verify that

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(q,0)}\overline{\Pi}_H(x)\sim\overline{\Pi}^{+}(x),\qquad x\to\infty.$$

This is an immediate consequence of the dominated convergence theorem, as in the proof of Theorem 3 (b) in [57]. □

*Proof of Theorem 6.* As for the proof of Theorem 4 the result is a consequence of Theorem 4.2 in [33]. Indeed, because of the factorisation identity in Theorem 2

$$\mathbb{P}(I^{-1}>t)=\mathbb{P}((I_{-\widehat{H}}J_H)^{-1}>t),\qquad t>0,$$

we have that it is enough to verify that

$$\mathbb{E}((J_H^{-1})^{\alpha+i\lambda})=\kappa(q,0)\,\mathbb{E}\left(R_H^{\alpha-1+i\lambda}\right)\neq 0,\qquad \lambda\in\mathbb{R},$$

whenever $\mathbb{E}((J_H^{-1})^{\alpha+\delta})<\infty$ for some $\delta>0$. The former is immediate from the infinite divisibility of $\log R_H$. The latter follows from the fact that $R_H$ has entire moments of any order. So, Theorem 4.2 in [33] ensures that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Moreover, since for any $\epsilon>0$ we have that

$$\mathbb{E}((J_H^{-1})^{\alpha+\epsilon})=\kappa(q,0)\,\mathbb{E}(R_H^{\alpha+\epsilon-1})<\infty,$$

Breiman's result implies the estimate

$$\mathbb{P}(I\leq t)\sim\kappa(q,0)\,\mathbb{E}\left(R_H^{\alpha-1}\right)\mathbb{P}(I_{-\widehat{H}}\leq t),\qquad t\to 0+.$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 7.* The proof of this Theorem is based in the results in [50]. When $q > 0$, both the upward and downward ladder height processes are killed subordinators. The Theorem 2.5 in [50] implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(I_{-\widehat{H}} \leq t) \sim \widehat{\kappa}(q,0)t, \qquad t \to 0+.$$

By Theorem 6 we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(I \leq t) \sim \kappa(q,0)\widehat{\kappa}(q,0)t, \qquad t \to 0+.$$

The temporal Wiener-Hopf factorisation $q = \kappa(q,0)\widehat{\kappa}(q,0)$, gives the characterization of the constant, which concludes the proof in this case.

When $q = 0$, $\xi$ drifts to $-\infty$ and the results in [57] Theorem 3-(b) when $\alpha = 0$, and [35] Proposition 5.3 when $\alpha > 0$, allow us to ensure that the tail Lévy measure of the downward ladder height subordinator $\overline{\Pi}_{\widehat{H}}$ has exponential decrease and

$$\overline{\Pi}_{\widehat{H}}(x) \sim \frac{1}{\kappa(0,\alpha)}\overline{\Pi}^{-}(x), \qquad x \to \infty.$$

Moreover, the Theorem 2.5 in [50] implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(I_{-\widehat{H}} \leq t) \sim \frac{\mathbb{E}(I_{-\widehat{H}}^{-\alpha})}{1+\alpha} t\overline{\Pi}_{\widehat{H}}(\log(1/t)), \qquad t \to 0+,$$

and $\mathbb{E}(I_{-\widehat{H}}^{-\alpha}) < \infty$. Putting the pieces together we get

$$\mathbb{P}(I \leq t) \sim \frac{1}{1+\alpha}\,\mathbb{E}(I_{-\widehat{H}}^{-\alpha})\kappa(q,0)\,\mathbb{E}\left(R_H^{\alpha}\right)\frac{1}{\kappa(0,\alpha)} t\overline{\Pi}^{-}(\log(1/t)), \qquad t \to 0+.$$

The recurrence for the moments of $R_H$ gives the identity $\mathbb{E}\left(R_H^{\alpha}\right)\frac{1}{\kappa(0,\alpha)} = \mathbb{E}\left(R_H^{\alpha-1}\right)$, which together with the factorisation in (2) allows to deduce that the constant in the above estimate satisfy the identity

$$\kappa(q,0)\,\mathbb{E}(I_{-\widehat{H}}^{-\alpha})\,\mathbb{E}\left(R_H^{\alpha}\right)\frac{1}{\kappa(0,\alpha)} = \mathbb{E}(I^{-\alpha}).$$

This also ensures that $\mathbb{E}(I^{-\alpha}) < \infty$. □

## 3.1 The rate of convergence

To work in the more general setting we will use the following form of Stone's decomposition theorem.

**Lemma 5.** *Assume $\xi = (\xi_s, s \geq 0)$ is real valued Lévy process with infinite lifetime, finite mean $\mathbb{E}(|\xi_1|) < \infty$, and such that $0 < \mu = \mathbb{E}(\xi_1) < \infty$. Assume furthermore that the 1-resolvent of $H$,*

$$\int_0^{\infty} dt e^{-t}\,\mathbb{P}(H_t \in dy),$$

*has a density w.r.t. Lebesgue's measure on* $(0,\infty)$ *and that there is a* $m \geq 2$ *such that* $\mathbb{E}(H_1^m) < \infty$. *In this case we have that there is a bounded function* $q$ *such that*

$$\frac{dy}{\mu} - U(dy) = \frac{dy}{\mathbb{E}(H_1)} \left( \int_0^\infty V_{\widehat{H}}(dz) q(y+z) \right) - V_{\widehat{H}}(-dy) V_H\{0\} 1_{\{y<0\}}, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

*and*

$$|q(y)| = o(y^{-(m-1)}), \qquad y \to \infty.$$

*Proof of Lemma 5.* The hypothesis of $H$ having a 1-resolvent that is absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue's measure is equivalent to the absolute continuity of the law of $H_{\mathbf{e}_1}$, with $\mathbf{e}_1$ a standard exponential r.v. independent of $H$. This implies in particular that $H_{\mathbf{e}_1}$ has a spread-out distribution. The identity (4) implies that the potential measure of $H$ has a density w.r.t. Lebesgue's measure on $(0,\infty)$ that we will denote by $v_H$. The assumption that $\mathbb{E}(H_1^m) < \infty$ implies that $\mathbb{E}(H_t^m) < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$, and because the mapping $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}(H_t^m)$ is a polynomial of order $m$ it follows that $\mathbb{E}\left(H_{\mathbf{e}_1}^m\right) < \infty$. According to Stone's decomposition theorem [61], the potential density $v_H$ is continuous and bounded and can be written as

$$v_H(y) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(H_1)} + p(y), \qquad y > 0,$$

and $p(y) = o(y^{-(m-1)})$ as $y \to \infty$. Using this the Wiener-Hopf factorization for the potential (7) takes the form

$$U(dy) = V_H\{0\} V_{\widehat{H}}(-dy) 1_{\{y<0\}} + dy \int_0^\infty V_{\widehat{H}}(dz) v_H(y+z) 1_{\{y+z>0\}}, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}\,.$$

Moreover, using the equality in (35) and the fact that $\int_0^\infty V_{\widehat{H}}(dz) = \frac{1}{\widehat{\kappa}(q,0)}$, with $\widehat{\kappa}(q,0)$ the killing rate of the downward ladder height process, we obtain the equality

$$\frac{dy}{\mu} = \frac{dy}{\mathbb{E}(H_1)} \int_0^\infty V_{\widehat{H}}(dz), \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}\,.$$

So, for any compactly supported and measurable function $f$ we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dy}{\mu} f(y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(dy) f(y) \\
&= \int_0^\infty V_{\widehat{H}}(dz) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy f(y) \left( \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(H_1)} - v_H(y+z) 1_{\{y+z>0\}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty V_{\widehat{H}}(dz) V_H\{0\} f(-z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy f(y) \int_0^\infty V_{\widehat{H}}(dz) \left( \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(H_1)} 1_{\{y+z<0\}} - p(y+z) 1_{\{y+z>0\}} \right) \\
&\qquad - \int_0^\infty V_{\widehat{H}}(dz) V_H\{0\} f(-z).
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows by taking

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(H_1)} 1_{\{z<0\}} - p(z) 1_{\{z>0\}}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}\,.$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 8.* The main idea is to use Lemma 5 for the Lévy process whose law is obtained via the Esscher transformation $\mathbb{P}^\theta\,|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = e^{\theta\xi_t}\,\mathbb{P}\,|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ for $t \geq 0$. For that end let us verify that under $\mathbb{P}^\theta$ the conditions of that Lemma are satisfied. Under the $\mathbb{P}^\theta$ we have $\mathbb{E}^\theta(\xi_1^{m+1}) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_1^{m+1} e^{\theta\xi_1}) < \infty$. According to Theorem 6.2.3 in [62] the latter implies that under $\mathbb{P}^\theta$ the upward ladder height has moment of order $m$ finite, $\mathbb{E}^\theta(H_t^m) < \infty$, for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, the absolute continuity of the 1-resolvent of $\xi$ under $\mathbb{P}$ with respecto to Lebesgue's measure, together with the local absolute continuity relation between $\mathbb{P}^\theta$ and $\mathbb{P}$, implies that under $\mathbb{P}^\theta$ the 1-resolvent of $\xi$ is also absolutely continuous. The assumption of $\xi$ being regular upwards under $\mathbb{P}$ implies that it is so under $\mathbb{P}^\theta$ because this a local property of $\xi$ and the measures $\mathbb{P}^\theta$ and $\mathbb{P}$ are locally equivalent. The regularity upwards implies that that the potential measure of $H$ under $\mathbb{P}^\theta$ has no mass at zero, $V_H^\theta\{0\} \equiv 0$. Theorem 3 in [18] implies that the law of $H_{\mathbf{e}_1}$ under $\mathbb{P}^\theta$, with $\mathbf{e}_1$ an independent standard exponential r.v., has a density with respect to Lebesgue's measure.

Theorem 1 allows to rewrite the difference

$$\left| t^\theta\, \mathbb{P}(t\delta < I \leq t\lambda) - C_\theta^{-1} \int_\delta^\lambda \frac{\theta dx}{x^{1+\theta}} \right|,$$

in terms of the renewal measure of $\xi$ under $\mathbb{P}^\theta$, $U_\theta(dy) = e^{\theta y} U(dy)$, and the integrable function $k_\theta(v) := v^\theta(k(\delta v) - k(\lambda v))$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(dy) t^\theta (k(t\delta e^{-y}) - k(t\lambda e^{-y})) - \frac{1}{\mu_\theta} \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{dv}{v} v^\theta (k(\delta v) - k(\lambda v)) \right| \\ &\qquad = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} U_\theta(dy) k_\theta(te^{-y}) - \frac{1}{\mu_\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy k_\theta(te^{-y}) \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $\mu_\theta = \mathbb{E}^\theta(\xi_1)$. By the hypotheses, under the transformed probability measure $\mathbb{P}^\theta$, $\xi$ is a Lévy process that drifts to $+\infty$, satisfies $0 < \mathbb{E}^\theta(\xi_1) < \infty$, and it has renewal measure $U_\theta$. Using Lemma 5, it follows that (28) takes the form

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dy}{\mathbb{E}^\theta(H_1)} k_\theta(te^{-y}) \left( \int_0^\infty V_{\hat{H}}^\theta(dz) q(y+z) \right) \right|, \tag{29}$$

with $V_{\hat{H}}^\theta$ the potential measure of the downward ladder height process under $\mathbb{P}^\theta$ . Now, let

$$\ell(y) := \int_0^\infty V_{\hat{H}}^\theta(dz) q(y+z), \qquad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

and, making the change of variables $u = te^{-y}$ in the first term of (29), we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{\mathbb{E}^\theta(H_1)} k_\theta(te^{-y})\ell(y) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}^\theta(H_1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{u} k_\theta(u)\ell(\log(t/u)).$$

Notice that $\ell$ is a bounded function because so is $q$ and $V^\theta_{\hat{H}}$ is a finite measure in view of the fact that under $\mathbb{P}^\theta$, $\xi$ drift towards $\infty$. To deal with the above integral, let $\chi \in (0,1)$ fixed, and split the interval $(0,\infty)$ into the sets $(0,t^\chi)$ and $[t^\chi,\infty)$. The integral over $[t^\chi,\infty)$ can be bounded by above by

$$\sup_{y<(1-\chi)\log t} |\ell(y)| \int_{t^\chi}^\infty \frac{du}{u} k_\theta(u),$$

and, by an integration by parts and Karamata's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t^\chi}^\infty \frac{du}{u} k_\theta(u) &= \frac{1}{\delta^\theta} \int_{\delta t^\chi}^\infty dv v^{\theta-1} k(v) - \frac{1}{\lambda^\theta} \int_{\lambda t^\chi}^\infty dv v^{\theta-1} k(v) \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta^\theta} (\delta t^\chi)^{\theta-1} \mathbb{P}(I > \delta t^\chi) + \frac{\theta-1}{\delta^\theta} \int_{\delta t^\chi}^\infty du u^{\theta-2} \mathbb{P}(I>u) \\
&- \frac{1}{\lambda^\theta} (\lambda t^\chi)^{\theta-1} \mathbb{P}(I > \lambda t^\chi) - \frac{\theta-1}{\lambda^\theta} \int_{\lambda t^\chi}^\infty du u^{\theta-2} \mathbb{P}(I>u) \\
&\sim \left( \frac{1}{\delta^{\theta+1}} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\theta+1}} \right) t^{-\chi} t^{\theta\chi} \mathbb{P}(I > t^\chi).
\end{aligned}$$

The above estimate is uniform both in $\delta$ and $\lambda$, on each interval of the form $[a,\infty)$ $(0<a<\infty)$, this latter because the functions $t \to t^{\theta-1}\mathbb{P}(I>t)$ and $\int_t^\infty du u^{\theta-2}\mathbb{P}(I>u)$, $t>0$, are regularly varying at infinity with index $-1$, and hence Theorem 1.5.2 in [13] applies. Therefore

$$\sup_{y<(1-\chi)\log t} |\ell(y)| \int_{t^\chi}^\infty \frac{du}{u} k_\theta(u) \tag{30}$$

$$\sim \theta \sup_{y<(1-\chi)\log t} |\ell(y)| \left( \frac{1}{\delta^{\theta+1}} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\theta+1}} \right) \frac{1}{t^\chi} t^{\theta\chi} \mathbb{P}(I > t^\chi), \tag{31}$$

which has order $t^{-\chi}$ uniformly in $a \le \delta \le \lambda \le \infty$.

Consider now the term of the integral over $(0,t^\chi)$. Since $\ell(y) = o(y^{-(m-1)})$ as $y \to \infty$, and, for $0<u<t^\chi$, $\log(t/u) > (1-\chi)\log t$, taking $\epsilon > 0$ and $t$ large enough we have

$$|\ell(\log(t/u))| \le \epsilon (\log(t/u))^{-(m-1)}.$$

As a result, the integral over $(0,t^\chi)$ can be bounded by above by

$$\int_0^{t^\chi} \frac{du}{u} k_\theta(u) (\log(t/u))^{-(m-1)} \le \epsilon (1-\chi)^{-(m-1)} (\log(t))^{-(m-1)} \int_0^{t^\chi} \frac{du}{u} k_\theta(u).$$

Since we also have the upper bound

$$0 \le \int_0^{t^\chi} \frac{du}{u} k_\theta(u) \le \left( \frac{1}{\delta^\theta} - \frac{1}{\lambda^\theta} \right) \mathbb{E}(I^{\theta-1}) \le 2a^{-\theta} \mathbb{E}(I^{\theta-1}),$$

we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}^{\theta}(H_1)} \int_0^{t^{\chi}} \frac{du}{u} k_{\theta}(u) \ell(\log(t/u)) = o((\log t)^{-(m-1)}),$$

uniformly in $0 < a \leq \delta \leq \lambda \leq \infty$.

If we have furthermore that

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{(\theta+\rho)\xi_1}) < \infty, \quad \text{for some} \quad \rho > 0,$$

Stone's theorem ensures that then $\ell(y) = o(e^{-\gamma y})$ as $y \to \infty$, for some $0 < \gamma < \rho$. We can assume $\gamma < 1 \wedge \rho$ so that we also have $\mathbb{E}(I^{\theta+\gamma-1}) < \infty$.

Going back to the estimate of the integral on $(0, t^{\chi})$, we see that in this case for $\epsilon > 0$ and $t$ large enough, this expression is bounded by above by

$$\epsilon \int_0^{t^{\chi}} \frac{du}{u} k_{\theta}(u) \, (t/u)^{-\gamma} \, .$$

The finiteness of $\mathbb{E}(I^{\theta+\gamma-1})$ allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t^{\chi}} \frac{du}{u} k_{\theta}(u) \, (t/u)^{-\gamma} &\sim t^{-\gamma} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^{1-\gamma}} k_{\theta}(u) \\ &= t^{-\gamma} \left( \frac{1}{\delta^{\theta+\gamma}} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\theta+\gamma}} \right) \mathbb{E}(I^{\theta+\gamma-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

From (30) and (32) we finally get an order $o(t^{-\gamma'})$, with $\gamma' = \chi \wedge \gamma$, which gives the claimed result. □

We finish this section by establishing Corollary 3.

*Proof of Corollary 3.* The result is easily deduced from Theorem 8 using the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x>0} \left| \overline{F}_t(x) - \frac{1}{(1+x/t)^{\theta}} \right| \\ &= \sup_{x>0} \left| \overline{F}_t(xt) - \frac{1}{(1+x)^{\theta}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{C_{\theta} t^{\theta} \, \mathbb{P}(I > t)} \sup_{x>0} \left| C_{\theta} t^{\theta} \, \mathbb{P}(I > t(1+x)) - \frac{1}{(1+x)^{\theta}} \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{C_{\theta} t^{\theta} \, \mathbb{P}(I > t)} \left| 1 - C_{\theta} t^{\theta} \, \mathbb{P}(I > t) \right| . \end{aligned}$$

□

# 4 Further consequences of equation (3)

Recall the notation in subsection 1.1. Let $\widetilde{\nu}_H$ be the measure on $[0,\infty)$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\nu}_H(dy) = \left(b\delta_0(dy) + (\overline{\Pi}_H(y) + \kappa(q,0))dy\right), \qquad y \geq 0. \tag{33}$$

The Laplace transform of $\widetilde{\nu}_H$ is given by

$$\frac{\kappa(q,\lambda)}{\lambda} = \int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-\lambda x}\widetilde{\nu}_H(dx), \tag{34}$$

while that of $V_H$ is

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(q,\lambda)} = \int_{[0,\infty)} V_H(dy)e^{-\lambda y}.$$

This implies that the measure obtained by convolution of $\widetilde{\nu}_H$ and $V_H$ is equal to Lebesgue's mesure on $(0,\infty)$. The same properties hold for the objects defined in terms of $\widehat{H}$.

If $q = 0$, and the mean of $\xi$ is finite, $\mathbf{E}(|\xi_1|) < \infty$ and $-\infty < m = \mathbf{E}(\xi_1) < 0$, then $-m = \mathbb{E}\left(I^{-1}\right)$, see e.g. [12] Theorem 6, and also the downward ladder height process has infinite lifetime, has a finite mean and the following formula holds, see [21] Chapter 4 Corollary 4,

$$-\mathbb{E}(\xi_1) = \kappa\,\mathbb{E}(\widehat{H}_1) = \kappa(\widehat{b} + \int_0^\infty \overline{\Pi}_{\widehat{H}}(x)dx). \tag{35}$$

In this case the probability measure $\nu_{\widehat{H}}(dy) = \dfrac{1}{\mathbb{E}(\widehat{H}_1)}\widetilde{\nu}_{\widehat{H}}$, is the law of the stationary downward undershoot

$$\mathcal{U} :\overset{\text{Law}}{=} \lim_{x\to\infty} x + \xi_{\tau^-_{-x}}.$$

See [40] Exercise 7.9. This fact applied to the equation (3), replacing $t$ by $te^u$ and integrating with respect to $\nu_{\widehat{H}}(du)$, directly leads to the following Corollary.

**Corollary 6.** *Assume that $\xi$ drifts towards $-\infty$ and its mean is finite, $\mathbf{E}(|\xi_1|) < \infty$ and $-\infty < \mathbf{E}(\xi_1) < 0$. The following identity in law holds*

$$e^{-\mathcal{U}}I \overset{Law}{=} e^{\overline{\xi}_\infty}L,$$

*where the factors in both sides of the equality are assumed to be independent and $L$ is a random variable with law given by*

$$\mathbb{P}(L \in dt) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(1/I)}\frac{1}{t}\,\mathbb{P}(I \in dt), \qquad t > 0.$$

This identity has been observed in the case where $\xi$ is the negative of a subordinator by Bertoin and Caballero [6]. In the particular case where $\xi$ is spectrally positive, that is, it has no-negative jumps, and hence $\mathcal{U} \equiv 0$, the

above equality has been obtained by Bertoin and Yor [11]. In terms of the densities, the latter identity becomes

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} \nu_{\widehat{H}}(dz) e^z k(xe^z) = \frac{1}{x\,\mathbb{E}(\widehat{H}_1)} \int_{[0,\infty)} V_H(dt) k(xe^{-t}) \tag{36}$$

If in equation (36) we replace $x$ by $xe^{-w}$ and integrate with respect to $\widetilde{\nu}_H(dw)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\iint_{[0,\infty)^2} \widetilde{\nu}_H(dw)\nu_{\widehat{H}}(dz) xe^{z-w} k(xe^{z-w}) &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(\widehat{H}_1)} \int_{[0,\infty)} dt k(xe^{-t}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(\widehat{H}_1)} \int_0^x ds \frac{1}{s} k(s).\end{aligned}$$

By doing so, using the explicit expression of the measures $\widetilde{v}_H$ and $v_{\widehat{H}}$, and that $Q^2/2 = b\widehat{b}$, we obtain the following Corollary which is an extension of the formula (2).

**Corollary 7.** *Assume $q = 0$ and $\xi$ has a finite mean $\mathbb{E}(|\xi_1|) < \infty$, and let $\mathbb{E}(\xi_1) < 0$. We have the following identity for $x > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^x ds \frac{1}{s} k(s) \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{2} xk(x) + \widehat{b} \int_0^\infty dw \left(\overline{\Pi}_H(w,\infty) + \kappa(0,0)\right) xe^{-w} k(xe^{-w}) \\ &+ b \int_0^\infty dz \overline{\Pi}_{\widehat{H}}(z,\infty) xe^z k(xe^z) \\ &+ \int_0^\infty dz \int_0^\infty dw \left(\overline{\Pi}_H(w,\infty) + \kappa(0,0)\right) \overline{\Pi}_{\widehat{H}}(z,\infty) xe^{z-w} k(xe^{z-w}).\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

# Acknowledgements

VR acknowledge support from EPSRC grant number EP/M001784/1 and from CONACyT grant 234644. This work was undertaken whilst VR was on sabbatical at the University of Bath, he gratefully acknowledges the kind hospitality of the Department and University.

# References

[1] L. Alili, W. Jedidi, and V. Rivero. On exponential functionals, harmonic potential measures and undershoots of subordinators. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 11(1):711–735, 2014.

[2] A. Behme and A. Lindner. On exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 28(2):681–720, 2015.

[3] C. Berg. On powers of Stieltjes moment sequences. I. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 18(4):871–889, 2005.

[4] J. Bertoin. *Lévy processes*, volume 121 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[5] J. Bertoin. *Random fragmentation and coagulation processes*, volume 102 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[6] J. Bertoin and M.-E. Caballero. Entrance from 0+ for increasing semistable Markov processes. *Bernoulli*, 8(2):195–205, 2002.

[7] J. Bertoin and R. A. Doney. Cramér's estimate for Lévy processes. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 21(5):363–365, 1994.

[8] J. Bertoin, A. Lindner, and R. Maller. On continuity properties of the law of integrals of Lévy processes. In *Séminaire de probabilités XLI*, volume 1934 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 137–159. Springer, Berlin, 2008.

[9] J. Bertoin and A. R. Watson. Probabilistic aspects of critical growth-fragmentation equations. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2015.

[10] J. Bertoin and M. Yor. On subordinators, self-similar Markov processes and some factorizations of the exponential variable. *Electron. Comm. Probab.*, 6:95–106 (electronic), 2001.

[11] J. Bertoin and M. Yor. On the entire moments of self-similar Markov processes and exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 11(1):33–45, 2002.

[12] J. Bertoin and M. Yor. Exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *Probab. Surv.*, 2:191–212, 2005.

[13] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels. *Regular variation*, volume 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[14] L. Breiman. On some limit theorems similar to the arc-sin law. *Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen.*, 10:351–360, 1965.

[15] D. Buraczewski, E. Damek, and T. Przebinda. On the rate of convergence in the Kesten renewal theorem. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 20:no. 22, 35, 2015.

[16] M. E. Caballero and L. Chaumont. Conditioned stable Lévy processes and the Lamperti representation. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 43(4):967–983, 2006.

[17] P. Carmona, F. Petit, and M. Yor. Exponential functionals of Lévy processes. In *Lévy processes*, pages 41–55. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001.

[18] L. Chaumont. On the law of the supremum of Lévy processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 41(3A):1191–1217, 2013.

[19] L. Chaumont, A. Kyprianou, J. C. Pardo, and V. Rivero. Fluctuation theory and exit systems for positive self-similar Markov processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 40(1):245–279, 2012.

[20] A. Comtet, C. Texier, and Y. Tourigny. Supersymmetric quantum mechanics with Lévy disorder in one dimension. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 145(5):1291–1323, 2011.

[21] R. A. Doney. *Fluctuation theory for Lévy processes*, volume 1897 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 2007. Lectures from the 35th Summer School on Probability Theory held in Saint-Flour, July 6–23, 2005, Edited and with a foreword by Jean Picard.

[22] D. Dufresne. The distribution of a perpetuity, with applications to risk theory and pension funding. *Scand. Actuar. J.*, (1-2):39–79, 1990.

[23] I. Epifani, A. Lijoi, and I. Prünster. Exponential functionals and means of neutral-to-the-right priors. *Biometrika*, 90(4):791–808, 2003.

[24] K. B. Erickson. Strong renewal theorems with infinite mean. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 151:263–291, 1970.

[25] H. K. Gjessing and J. Paulsen. Present value distributions with applications to ruin theory and stochastic equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 71(1):123–144, 1997.

[26] A. Gnedin and J. Pitman. Regenerative composition structures. *Ann. Probab.*, 33(2):445–479, 2005.

[27] A. V. Gnedin. Regeneration in random combinatorial structures. *Probab. Surv.*, 7:105–156, 2010.

[28] C. M. Goldie. Implicit renewal theory and tails of solutions of random equations. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 1(1):126–166, 1991.

[29] C. M. Goldie and R. Grübel. Perpetuities with thin tails. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 28(2):463–480, 1996.

[30] F. Guillemin, P. Robert, and B. Zwart. AIMD algorithms and exponential functionals. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 14(1):90–117, 2004.

[31] B. Haas and V. Rivero. Quasi-stationary distributions and Yaglom limits of self-similar Markov processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 122(12):4054–4095, 2012.

[32] F. Hirsch and M. Yor. On the Mellin transforms of the perpetuity and the remainder variables associated to a subordinator. *Bernoulli*, 19(4):1350–1377, 2013.

[33] M. Jacobsen, T. Mikosch, J. Rosiński, and G. Samorodnitsky. Inverse problems for regular variation of linear filters, a cancellation property for $\sigma$-finite measures and identification of stable laws. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 19(1):210–242, 2009.

[34] W. S. Kendall. Lines and networks. *Markov Process. Related Fields*, 20(1):81–106, 2014.

[35] C. Klüppelberg, A. E. Kyprianou, and R. A. Maller. Ruin probabilities and overshoots for general Lévy insurance risk processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 14(4):1766–1801, 2004.

[36] C. Klüppelberg, R. Maller, and A. Szimayer. The COGARCH: a review, with news on option pricing and statistical inference. In *Surveys in stochastic processes*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 29–58. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.

[37] A. Kuznetsov, A. E. Kyprianou, J. C. Pardo, and A. R. Watson. The hitting time of zero for a stable process. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 19:no. 30, 26, 2014.

[38] A. Kuznetsov, J. C. Pardo, and M. Savov. Distributional properties of exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 17:no. 8, 35, 2012.

[39] A. Kyprianou, V. Rivero, and W. Satitkanitkul. Conditioned real self-similar Markov processes. 2015.

[40] A. E. Kyprianou. *Fluctuations of Lévy processes with applications*. Universitext. Springer, Heidelberg, second edition, 2014. Introductory lectures.

[41] A. E. Kyprianou and J. C. Pardo. Continuous-state branching processes and self-similarity. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 45(4):1140–1160, 2008.

[42] J. Lamperti. Semi-stable Markov processes. I. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 22:205–225, 1972.

[43] A. Lindner and R. Maller. Lévy integrals and the stationarity of generalised Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 115(10):1701–1722, 2005.

[44] K. Maulik and B. Zwart. Tail asymptotics for exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 116(2):156–177, 2006.

[45] M. Möhle. On the number of segregating sites for populations with large family sizes. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 38(3):750–767, 2006.

[46] A. G. Pakes. Convolution equivalence and infinite divisibility. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 41(2):407–424, 2004.

[47] A. G. Pakes. Convolution equivalence and infinite divisibility: corrections and corollaries. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 44(2):295–305, 2007.

[48] J. C. Pardo, P. Patie, and M. Savov. A Wiener-Hopf type factorization for the exponential functional of Lévy processes. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 86(3):930–956, 2012.

[49] J. C. Pardo and V. Rivero. Self-similar Markov processes. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3)*, 19(2):201–235, 2013.

[50] J. C. Pardo, V. Rivero, and K. van Schaik. On the density of exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *Bernoulli*, 19(5A):1938–1964, 2013.

[51] P. Patie. Exponential functional of a new family of Lévy processes and self-similar continuous state branching processes with immigration. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 133(4):355–382, 2009.

[52] P. Patie and M. Savov. Extended factorizations of exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 17:no. 38, 22, 2012.

[53] P. Patie and M. Savov. Exponential functional of Lévy processes: generalized Weierstrass products and Wiener-Hopf factorization. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 351(9-10):393–396, 2013.

[54] P. Patie and M. Savov. Spectral expansions of non-self-adjoint generalized Laguerre semigroups. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2015.

[55] J.-P. Raoult and R. Worms. Rate of convergence for the generalized Pareto approximation of the excesses. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 35(4):1007–1027, 2003.

[56] V. Rivero. Recurrent extensions of self-similar Markov processes and Cramér's condition. *Bernoulli*, 11(3):471–509, 2005.

[57] V. Rivero. Sinaĭ's condition for real valued Lévy processes. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 43(3):299–319, 2007.

[58] V. Rivero. Tail asymptotics for exponential functionals of Lévy processes: the convolution equivalent case. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 48(4):1081–1102, 2012.

[59] K.-i. Sato. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*, volume 68 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. Translated from the 1990 Japanese original, Revised edition of the 1999 English translation.

[60] A. Singh. Rates of convergence of a transient diffusion in a spectrally negative Lévy potential. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(1):279–318, 2008.

[61] C. Stone. On absolutely continuous components and renewal theory. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 37:271–275, 1966.

[62] V. Vigon. *Simplifiez vos Lévy en titillant la factorisation de Wiener-Hopf*. PhD thesis, Université Louis Pasteur, 2002.

[63] V. Vigon. Votre Lévy rampe-t-il? *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 65(1):243–256, 2002.

[64] T. Watanabe. Convolution equivalence and distributions of random sums. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 142(3-4):367–397, 2008.

[65] M. Yor. *Exponential functionals of Brownian motion and related processes*. Springer Finance. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. With an introductory chapter by Hélyette Geman, Chapters 1, 3, 4, 8 translated from the French by Stephen S. Wilson.